Brexit bibliography

Below is a bibliography of articles which have discussed the legal nature and implications of the UK’s referendum on EU membership and Brexit. This bibliography has been compiled by Middle Temple Library staff and focuses on publications within the library’s collection.
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Hellen Kelly, ‘Brexit: are you in or out? It is time for the UK maritime sector to pin its colours to the mast when it comes to membership of the European Union’ [May 2016] The Intelligence 6.
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Jonathon Manning, ‘Brexit clauses hit the real estate market. Break clauses are likely to be enforced after the Leave vote, but most experts in the stalled market say it will thrive again in the longer run’ (27 June 2016) 30 (26) The Lawyer 8–9.
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Alyson Coulson, ‘Brexit: Taxing times. Alyson Coulson looks at the current IHT & probate situation & whether Brexit will have any effect’ (5 August 2016) 166 (7710) NLJ 9.

Richard Lang, ‘Brexit: Trigger happy? The need for Parliament's consent to trigger Art 50 is a matter of EU law’ (12 August 2016) 166 (7711) NLJ 8.
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Tessa Shepperson, ‘The private rented sector post-Brexit: Carrying on as usual. Tessa Shepperson discusses how the referendum result will affect landlords and tenants, particularly in terms of changes or delays to new legislation’ (9 August 2016) 160 (31) SJ 39–40.
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‘100 days after the Brexit vote – what’s the plan? Top in-house lawyers considered potential company moves and questions of talent retention at a recent Hot 100 Connect event’ (17 October 2016) 30 (42) The Lawyer 12.
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Riccardo Calzavara and Toby Vanhegan, ‘No Brexit without parliament. Riccardo Calzavara and Toby Vanhegan consider the reasoning behind the High Court’s ruling that the government cannot trigger article 50 without parliament’s consent’ (8 November 2016) 160 (42) SJ 19.
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‘Q&A: Brexit and the Panama Papers affair. Leading lawyers discuss the ramifications of two of the most important developments of the year for offshore jurisdictions’ (14 November 2016) 30 (46) The Lawyer 20–26.
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Gene Matthews and Nancy Williams, ‘Post Brexit crystal ball gazing: What the future holds for Phase I Clinical Trials in the UK’ (September-November 2016) 22 (5-6) Clinical Risk 97–104.
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Michael Zander QC, ‘Art. 50: the clash of the Brexit case arguments. Michael Zander QC reviews the written cases of the government & the lead claimants in next week’s Supreme Court hearing’ (2 December 2016) 166 (7725) NLJ 12.
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Middle Temple Library


Adele Edwin-Lamerton, ‘Brexit backlash. The response to the High Court’s article 50 judgment has emphasised that there is a distinct lack of constitutional understanding in the UK’ (20 December 2016) 160 (48) SJ 15.
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Francisco de la Peña, ‘Gentle Brexit, a very British Exit: EEA Membership as the Most Favourable Model to Secure Financial Services Passports’ (December 2016) 27 E.B.L.R. 1057–1089.